## 2023 Academy Annual Meeting and Scientific Symposium Free Paper Rubric

## Rate the RELEVANCE of the abstract per the following rubric: [Scale 0-5]

lte (Crite	Unaccentable (0)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very good (4)	Excellent (5)
Relev	The study is not related to prosthetics and orthotics and has no clinical or theoretical relevance.	Although the study is related to prosthetics and orthotics, it has no clinical or theoretical relevance.	The study has limited clinical or theoretical relevance to issues in prosthetics and orthotics, but the connection is not clearly described.	The study <b>may</b> in time contribute to the advancement of clinical or theoretical issues in prosthetics and orthotics.	The study <b>can</b> indirectly contribute to the advancement of clinical or theoretical issues in prosthetics and orthotics.	The study is directly and immediately applicable to clinical or theoretical issues in prosthetics and orthotics.

## Rate the METHODS of the abstract per the following rubric:

[Scale 0-5]

ltem (Criteria)	Unacceptable (0)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very good (4)	Excellent (5)
Method	The method is not described.	The method is flawed.	The method is poorly described and/or more appropriate methods should have been considered.	The method is reasonably well described and seems appropriate.	The method is appropriate and described in reasonable detail given the constraints of space. If applicable, issues of validity and reliability have been considered.	The method is very clear, comprehensive, and well described given the constraints of space. If applicable, the method is valid, reliable and appropriate.

## Rate the OVERALL QUALITY of the abstract per the following rubric: [Scale 0-10]

ltem (Criteria)	Unacceptable (0)	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very good (4)	Excellent (5)
Overall Quality	The abstract is unacceptable because the abstract presents insufficient information for an appropriate review OR the abstract length exceeds the specified length OR the abstract is not in the correct format.	Overall, the abstract provides a <b>poor</b> impression of the presentation.	Overall, the abstract provides a <u>fair</u> impression of the presentation.	Overall, the abstract provides a <b>g<u>ood</u> impression of the</b> presentation.	Overall, the abstract provides a <u>very good</u> impression of the presentation.	Overall, the abstract provides an <u>excellent</u> impression of the presentation.

Are there any commercial bias concerns with this abstract?

- Yes
- No

If yes, please explain your concerns with commercial bias: [Text Box]

Do you recommend this abstract for acceptance?

- Accept as Free Paper presentation
- Accept as Free Paper with minor revisions
- Reject as Free Paper presentation but accept as Poster presentation
- Reject as Free Paper presentation but accept as Poster presentation with minor revisions
- Reject

Does the abstract require minor revision for acceptance??

- Yes
- No

Please describe the requested revision to the abstract (150 words maximum): [Text Box]

Please use this space to provide any additional comments on the abstract. (150 words maximum) [Text Box]