|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 1. Fidelity and Effectiveness Assessment | | |  |
| Item | **Mean** | **SD** | **% Agree** |
| FIDELITY (coefficient alpha = 0.87) | **4.06** | **0.64** |  |
| 3. The sling trocar appeared realistic in the virtual operation | 4.06 | 0.77 | 71% |
| 4. The sling trocar felt realistic | 4.06 | 0.93 | 71% |
| 5. The pelvic model appeared realistic | 4.31 | 0.79 | 94% |
| 6. The pelvic model (haptics) felt realistic | 3.81 | 0.98 | 53% |
| 7. The system simulated a realistic clinical scenario | 4.19 | 0.91 | 82% |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9. Objects reacted the way I expected while performing tasks in the simulation. | 3.44 | 0.81 | 53% |
| 17. The head display was uncomfortable. (R) | 4.25 | 1.00 | 0% |
| 18. The head display distracted me or effected how well I performed the task. (R) | 4.38 | 0.81 | 6% |
| TRAINING EFFECTIVENESS | **4.44** | **0.54** |  |
| 1. Overall, I enjoyed using this training system | 4.65 | 0.49 | 100% |
| 2. I found this training system valuable for practicing surgical skills | 4.47 | 0.94 | 82% |
| 10. I would use this training system again if available | 4.59 | 1.0 | 88% |
| 11. This system should be part of surgical training | 4.71 | 0.59 | 94% |
| 12. This system trained how to properly place a sling | 4.29 | 0.85 | 76% |
| 13. This system trained how to avoid improper sling placement | 4.29 | 0.59 | 88% |
| 14. This system trained how to recognize when to adjust sling placement pathway | 4.29 | 0.69 | 88% |
| 8. The presence of haptic feedback made this training more effective. | 4.71 | 0.77 | 94% |
| 15. This training system could help improve surgical outcomes | 4.65 | 0.61 | 94% |
| 16. This training system increased my confidence in sling placement | 3.76 | 0.97 | 82% |
| 19. This system would be valuable to prove competency to hospitals credentialing surgeons in this procedure | 4.65 | 0.49 | 65% |
| Note. (R) = items reverse coded when calculating subscale mean. |  |  |  |

(1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). N = 17